REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Final Office Action dated October 3, 2006, having a shortened statutory period for response extended one month to and including February 5, 2007. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for at least the reasons discussed below.

Claims 1, 3-12, 14-19, and 21-26 remain pending in the application and are shown above. Claims 1, 3-12, 14-19, and 21-26 are rejected. Reconsideration of the rejected claims is requested for the reasons presented below.

Applicants have amended claims 1, 12, and 19 to more clearly illustrate the claimed subject matter. Applicants submit that the changes made herein do not introduce new matter.

Claims 1, 3-12, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,734,559) in view of Gates, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,203,613). The Examiner states that Yang, et al. teaches a method comprising depositing a barrier layer in a feature in a dielectric layer of a substrate, filling the feature with a metal-containing layer, planarizing the substrate, and depositing a refractory metal nitride cap layer on the substrate. The Examiner acknowledges that Yang, et al. does not disclose depositing the cap layer by a cyclical deposition process comprising alternately pulsing a metal-containing compound and a nitrogen-containing compound to deposit the refractory metal nitride cap layer and notes that Gates, et al. teaches the deposition of a metal nitride layer by atomic layer deposition. The Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to deposit Yang, et al.'s refractory metal nitride cap layer by atomic layer deposition according to the teachings of Gates, et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Claim 1 recites a method that comprises planarizing a substrate to create a planar surface comprising a surface of a dielectric layer and a surface of a metal-containing layer and depositing a refractory metal nitride cap layer on the planar surface of the substrate. Yang, et al. describes planarizing a channel 201 and then etching the surface of the channel back such that the channel and dielectric layer 226 are not coplanar when the barrier 206 is deposited on the channel and dielectric layer (column 4, lines 25-37, Figures 3 and 4). Applicants respectfully submit that Yang, et al.,

individually or in combination with Gates, et al., does not teach or suggest planarizing a substrate to create a planar surface comprising a surface of a dielectric layer and a surface of a metal-containing layer and depositing a refractory metal nitride cap layer on the planar surface.

Thus, Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. does not teach, show, or suggest a method for forming a cap layer, comprising depositing a barrier layer in a feature in a dielectric layer of a substrate, filling the feature with a metal-containing layer, planarizing the substrate to create a planar surface comprising a surface of the dielectric layer and a surface of the metal-containing layer, and depositing a refractory metal nitride cap layer on the planar surface of the substrate by a cyclical deposition process comprising alternately pulsing a metal-containing compound and a nitrogen-containing compound to deposit the refractory metal nitride cap layer, as recited in claim 1. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and of claims 3-11, which depend thereon.

Regarding claim 12, Applicants note that claim 12 also recites a method comprising planarizing a substrate to create a planar surface comprising a surface of a dielectric layer and a surface of a metal-containing layer and depositing a refractory metal nitride (i.e., tantalum nitride) cap layer on the planar surface of the substrate. Thus, for the reasons discussed above with respect to claim 1, Applicants respectfully submit that Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. does not teach or suggest all of the elements of claim 12. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 12 and of claims 14-18, which depend thereon.

Claims 19 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. as applied to claims 1, 3-11, and 13-18 above, and further in view of Naik, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,204,168). The Examiner states that Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. teaches a method of forming a dual damascene structure comprising depositing a first dielectric film on a substrate, depositing an etch stop on the first dielectric film, depositing a second dielectric film on the etch stop and the exposed first dielectric film, etching the second dielectric film to define a horizontal interconnect and a vertical interconnect, depositing a barrier layer on the substrate, depositing a metal-containing layer on the substrate to fill the vertical

interconnect and the horizontal interconnect, planarizing the metal-containing layer and the second dielectric film, depositing a refractory metal nitride cap layer on the planarized metal-containing layer and the planarized second dielectric film by a cyclical deposition process, and depositing an etch stop layer on the refractory metal nitride cap layer. The Examiner acknowledges that Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. does not state a method in which dual damascene trenches are formed. The Examiner notes that Naik, et al. teaches a dual damascene method (Figure 1) and asserts that it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. and Naik, et al. to enable the method of dual damascene opening formation to be performed according to the teachings of Naik, et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Applicants agree that Figure 1 of *Naik, et al.* shows a dual damascene process. However, Applicants respectfully submit that *Yang, et al.* in view of *Gates, et al.* provides two single damascene layers and does not provide a dual damascene structure for interconnects 201 and 210. *Yang, et al.* discloses that the structure of Figure 3 is formed through the steps of depositing conductive material in the channel 201 using the first damascene process described therein with respect to Figures 1 and 2 (column 5, lines 40-45). In the first damascene process, a channel opening 125 is defined in a channel dielectric 126 above the via 110 and dielectric layer 112. *Yang, et al.* does not teach or suggest etching the channel dielectric 126 and also continuing to etch an exposed dielectric layer 112 to define the via 110. Thus, *Yang, et al.* does not teach or suggest that the formation of the channel opening 125 is part of a dual damascene opening process.

After the channel opening 125 is formed, the channel opening 125 is then filled with a barrier, seed, and metal layer (column 4, lines 49-60). Yang, et al. does not teach or suggest that filling of the channel opening 125 also fills the channel 110, and thus, does not teach or suggest that the filling of the channel opening 125 is part of a dual damascene process. Instead, Yang, et al. provides a single damascene process for forming channel opening 125 and channel 101, and thus also provides a single damascene process and single damascene structure for channel 201.

Applicants further submit that there is no reasonable expectation of success for using a dual damascene process in which a metal layer simultaneously fills both a vertical interconnect and a horizontal interconnect to form the interconnects 201 and 210 of the structure of *Yang*, et al. in view of *Gates*, et al., as interconnects 201 and 210 are separated from each other by barrier layer 221 and seed layer 222 (Figure 3) and are not filled simultaneously.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. and Naik, et al. does not teach, suggest, or provide a reasonable expectation of success for using Naik, et al.'s dual damascene process to form the interconnects 201 and 210 in the structure of Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al.

Therefore, Yang, et al. in view of Gates, et al. and Naik, et al. does not teach. show, or suggest a method of forming a dual damascene structure, comprising depositing a first dielectric film on a substrate, depositing an etch stop on the first dielectric film, pattern etching the etch stop to define a vertical interconnect opening and expose the first dielectric film, depositing a second dielectric film on the etch stop and the exposed first dielectric film, pattern etching the second dielectric film to define a horizontal interconnect and continuing to etch the exposed first dielectric film to define the vertical interconnect, depositing a barrier layer on the substrate, depositing a metalcontaining layer on the substrate to fill both the vertical interconnect and the horizontal interconnect, planarizing the metal-containing layer and the second dielectric film. depositing a refractory metal nitride cap layer on the planarized metal-containing layer and the planarized second dielectric film by a cyclical deposition process comprising alternately pulsing a metal-containing compound and a nitrogen-containing compound to deposit the refractory metal nitride cap layer, and depositing an etch stop layer on the refractory metal nitride cap layer, as recited in claim 19. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 19 and of claims 21-26, which depend thereon.

In conclusion, the references cited by the Examiner, alone or in combination, do not teach, show, or suggest the invention as claimed.

Having addressed all issues set out in the Final Office Action, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Keith M. Tackett

Registration No. 32,008 Patterson & Sheridan, L.L.P. 3040 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 1500

= 2 2h_

Houston, TX 77056 Telephone: (713) 623-4844 Facsimile: (713) 623-4846

Attorney for Applicant

542411_1